	Case 2:22-cr-00053-KJM Document 1	5 Filed 07/14/22 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	United States of America,	No. 2:22-CR-053 KJM
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	
14	Choon Foo Yong,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	United States of America,	No. 2:22-CR-0144 TLN
18	Plaintiff,	
19	v.	RELATED CASE ORDER
20	William D. Opp,	
21	Defendant.	
22 23		
24		
25	Evamination of the above continued	tions moved that there are related within the
26	Examination of the above-captioned actions reveals that they are related within the	
27	meaning of Local Rule 123(a). Here, "both actions involve similar questions of fact and the same question of law and their assignment to the same Judge or Magistrate Judge is likely to effect a	
28	question of law and their assignment to the san	ne suage of magistrate suage is likely to effect a
		1

Case 2:22-cr-00053-KJM Document 15 Filed 07/14/22 Page 2 of 2

substantial savings of judicial effort." Local Rule 123(a)(3). Accordingly, the assignment of these matters to the same judge is likely to effect a substantial savings of judicial effort and is likely to be convenient for the parties.

The parties should be aware that relating cases under Rule 123 causes the actions to be assigned to the same judge – it does not consolidate the actions. Under Rule 123, related cases are generally assigned to the judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was assigned.

As a result, it is hereby ORDERED that case no. 2:22-cr-144 TLN is reassigned from Hon. Troy L. Nunley to the undersigned. Henceforth, the caption on documents filed in the reassigned case shall be shown as: case no. 2:22-cr-144 KJM.

It is further ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make appropriate adjustment in the assignment of criminal cases to compensate for this reassignment.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 14, 2022.

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE